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SUMMARY

Within each bacterial species, different strains may vary in the set of genes they encode or in the copy number of these genes. Yet, taxonomic characterization of the human microbiota is often limited to the species level or to previously sequenced strains, and accordingly, the prevalence of intra-species variation, its functional role, and its relation to host health remain unclear. Here, we present a comprehensive large-scale analysis of intra-species copy-number variation in the gut microbiome, introducing a rigorous computational pipeline for detecting such variation directly from shotgun metagenomic data. We uncover a large set of variable genes in numerous species and demonstrate that this variation has significant functional and clinically relevant implications. We additionally infer intra-species compositional profiles, identifying population structure shifts and the presence of yet uncharacterized variants. Our results highlight the complex relationship between microbiome composition and functional capacity, linking metagenome-level compositional shifts to strain-level variation.

INTRODUCTION

The human gut microbiome plays an important role in host metabolism, immunity, and drug response and has a tremendous impact on our health (Iida et al., 2013; Kinross et al., 2011; Vijay-Kumar et al., 2010). Numerous comparative studies aiming to characterize the contribution of the microbiome to human health have already demonstrated marked shifts in the relative abundance of various species, genera, or phyla in various disease states (Frank et al., 2007; Hoffman et al., 2014; Larsen et al., 2010; Turnbaugh et al., 2009). Clearly, however, each microbial species represents many different strains that may encode considerably different sets of genes and a different number of copies of each gene (reflecting, for example, gene deletions and duplication events). Such intra-species variation endows each strain with potentially distinct functional capacities. Studies of individual isolates of cultured species have indicated, for example, that strains often differ in virulence (Gill et al., 2005; Salama et al., 2000; Solheim et al., 2009), motility (Zunino et al., 2004), nutrient utilization (Siezen et al., 2010), and drug resistance (Gill et al., 2005). Accordingly, the true functional potential of a microbiome cannot be inferred from species composition alone, and species-level comparative analyses may fail to capture important functional differences across samples. Recent efforts to catalog the relative abundance of known strains in human microbiome samples (Kraal et al., 2014) may recover some of these differences but are limited to sequenced reference genomes and are not able to identify novel, yet-to-be-sequenced variation. Gene-centric shotgun metagenomic studies, on the other hand, may identify genes or pathways that are differentially abundant across samples but cannot necessarily attribute these shifts to specific species or strains. Specifically, it is often unclear how much of the observed variation in gene composition is due to variation in the abundances of species and how much is contributed by intra-species variation. Indeed, conflicting results have been reported, with trends identified among species profiles that are often poorly translated to gene profiles and vice versa (Muegge et al., 2011; Turnbaugh et al., 2009). It is therefore not yet clear how prevalent gene-level intra-species variation is in the human gut, whether such variation is adaptive and affects specific functions, and how much of this variation has already been captured by reference genomes.

Some evidence already suggests that variation among strains is common in the human gut. Several studies have focused specifically on nucleotide-level variation, assessing, for example, the prevalence and stability of single-nucleotide polymorphisms across numerous metagenomes (Schloissnig et al., 2013) or the level of sequence diversity across multiple near-complete genomes from two bacterial species variants obtained by single-cell sequencing (Fitzsimons et al., 2013). Other studies have taken steps to associate sequence variation with gene-level differences, identifying, for example, areas of variable coverage and the coordinated loss of genes from specific gene families within the Streptococcus mitis B6 genome (Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012) or a diverse array of strain-specific adhesion-like protein genes across cultured strains of Methanobrevibacter smithii (Hansen et al., 2011). Additional studies have used extensive manual genomic reconstruction to track strain-resolved shifts over time in Actinomycetaceae in the relatively low-complexity premature infant gut microbiome (Brown et al., 2013); to detect differences related to antibiotic resistance, transport, and biofilm formation among three strains of Staphylococcus epidermis (Sharon et al., 2013); or to identify
the variable presence of genes involved in transport, motility, carbohydrate metabolism, and virulence in two distinct strains of Citrobacter (Morowitz et al., 2011). These gene-level studies, however, mostly report small-scale or anecdotal results, focusing on one or a small number of species and often on specific gene families. A high-throughput, comprehensive analysis of gene-level variation across a large array of species in the human gut is therefore needed to more fully appreciate the extent and functional implications of strain variation in this complex microbiome.

To address this challenge, here we establish a rigorous and robust pipeline to estimate the copy number of each gene in a large set of prevalent gut microbial species in a given sample directly from metagenomic shotgun data and, furthermore, to detect copy-number variation across samples. We carefully calibrate this pipeline to confirm that it can successfully estimate the copy number of individual genes in individual species on a large scale. Applying this pipeline to 109 metagenomic samples from a recent study of the gut microbiomes of healthy, obese, and inflamed bowel disease (IBD)-afflicted individuals, we estimate the copy number of more than 4,000 gene groups across 70 species in each of these samples and demonstrate the presence of widespread copy-number variation within many genes in many species. We find that specific functions are especially prone to copy-number variation, including functions relevant to a community lifestyle and adaptation to the gut environment, and further detect associations between strain variation and host phenotype. Finally, we demonstrate that these copy-number estimates can be used both to model the composition of known strains within each sample and to offer insight into complex population structures, suggesting the presence of yet uncharacterized species variants.

RESULTS

A Pipeline for Calculating Genomic Copy-Number Estimates in Metagenomic Samples

We developed a pipeline to confidently detect variation in gene content and gene copy number in a large set of prevalent human gut microbes directly from metagenomic data (Figure 1 and Experimental Procedures). Briefly, this pipeline works as follows. Shotgun metagenomic short reads were first aligned to a set of reference genomes representing dominant and prevalent gut microbiome strains. To account for the potentially multiple genomes available for each species in this reference database, genomes were grouped into clusters using a previously introduced sequence similarity-based method (Schloissnig et al., 2013). These clusters represent approximate species-level groups, though in some cases may not reflect classical taxonomic divisions. We used extensive simulations to carefully select alignment parameters and confirmed that, with these parameters, reads mapped to the correct region and correct genome cluster, whereas reads from genome clusters not represented in our reference database remained unmapped (Figure 2A; Figure S1; Extended Experimental Procedures). In parallel, genome coding regions from all reference genomes were annotated with KEGG orthology groups (KOs). Reference genomes and KOs with low confidence mapping were identified and excluded (Figure S2; Extended Experimental Procedures). For each sample, coverage across each KO-annotated region in each reference genome was calculated, and coverage values across regions corresponding to the same KO in the same genome cluster were summed. We then used the average coverage of 13 single copy marker genes, carefully selected for their universality, mapping accuracy, and coverage consistency (Figure S3; Extended Experimental Procedures), to convert the calculated coverage of each KO in each cluster to a copy-number estimate (Experimental Procedures). Overall, this process estimated the copy number, $V_{KCs}$, of each KO $k$, in each genome cluster $c$, detected in each sample $s$ (Figure 1). Notably, copy-number estimates represent an average across the various genomes associated with each cluster that are present in the sample and across the potentially multiple genes associated with each KO. We further performed an analysis of an extensive synthetic dataset to confirm that this scheme accurately recovers species abundances and copy-number values (Figures S4 A and S4B; Experimental Procedures).

We applied this pipeline to a dataset of 109 previously collected gut metagenomic samples from a Danish/Spanish cohort (Qin et al., 2010), mapping in total >2.45 billion 75 bp reads to 235 reference genomes grouped into 96 genome clusters (Table S1; Extended Experimental Procedures). The average coverage across the 13 marker genes (a proxy for the abundance of each cluster in each sample) varied considerably across clusters and between samples (Figures 2 B and 2C). To limit any downstream analysis to high-confidence copy-number estimates, we therefore considered only genome clusters with sufficient coverage in a sample (which we term “detectable” clusters; Experimental Procedures). We identified a total of 70 clusters that were detectable in at least one sample, with an average of 16 detectable clusters in each sample (Table S2). Overall, this analysis assigned copy number values to ~1.5 million KO-cluster-sample triplets, estimating the copy number of thousands of KOs across a large array of genome clusters in >100 samples (Table S3).

This dataset of copy-number estimates provides a first large-scale account of gene-level strain variation among organisms common to the human gut. Below, we mine this dataset to explore neutral and adaptive variation in this highly complex ecosystem in a manner that goes beyond species-level comparative analysis. Importantly, this dataset and the pipeline described above can serve as a valuable resource for future studies of compositional shifts in the human microbiome and in other environments, linking metagenome-level differences in gene abundance to genome-level variation.

Identifying Genes with Highly Variable and with Set-Specific Variable Copy Number

Given the copy-number estimates obtained above, we set out to identify specific KOs in specific clusters (KO-cluster pairs, or KCs) whose copy number varied across samples. Notably, to detect variation, we compared the copy number of each KC across different samples rather than comparing the estimated copy number in any given sample to the copy number in a reference genome, avoiding spurious variation predictions that may result from annotation errors or bias in the set of reference genomes. Clearly, many clusters can be detected in only a few samples. To confidently detect copy-number variation, we
therefore only considered the 40 clusters that were detectable in at least 10 samples.

We first set out to identify KCs that exhibit extreme and prevalent variation across samples. Specifically, we calculated the level of inter-sample variation in the copy number of each KC and defined as *highly variable* those KCs whose variation was at least two standard deviations greater than the average variation of all KCs (Experimental Procedures). We used both cross-validation analysis and synthetic samples to confirm the robustness and accuracy of this approach (Extended Experimental Procedures; Figure S4C). In total, this analysis detected 735 highly variable KCs spanning 261 KOs across 38 genome clusters (Figure 3; Table S4). The number of highly variable KCs in each cluster varied greatly, reaching up to 47 KCs in...
the Roseburia intestinalis cluster (representing 4.05% of the KCs in this cluster), with an average of 1.79% of the KCs in each cluster (Table S5). We found no apparent relationship between the amount of variation observed in a cluster and the number of reference genomes in the cluster or the prevalence of the cluster across samples, but we did observe a tendency toward high variation in species from the Firmicutes phylum compared to other species (t test, p < 0.05; see also Figure 3).

Although the majority of highly variable KOs (57.1%) were variable in just one cluster, certain KOs were variable across many clusters, with some KOs variable in ten or more different clusters.

The analysis above focused on KCs that exhibit extreme variation and on KCs that vary greatly across many different samples.

Variation within other genes, however, may be more subtle and may reflect, for example, adaptive variation that can be observed in only a small set of samples. We therefore set out to additionally identify set-specific variable KCs, wherein the copy number of a given KC was relatively constant across most samples but deviated significantly in a small subset of the samples (Experimental Procedures). In this analysis, we further distinguished cases in which a KC exhibited a consistently high copy number in this subset of samples compared to all other samples (set-specific increased copy number) from cases in which a KC exhibited a consistently low copy number in this subset of samples (set-specific decreased copy number) or in which it exhibited increased copy number in one subset and decreased in another. As

Figure 2. Genome Cluster Statistics

The mappability, abundance, and prevalence of each genome cluster (representing a species-level group) are shown in three vertically aligned plots. Clusters are sorted by their prevalence across samples.

(A) Cluster mappability, as determined by a large-scale simulation assay measuring the accuracy of mapping reads extracted from the cluster’s genomes to a database in which the genome of origin was removed. In this simulation, reads from clusters represented in the reference database by a single genome (marked with a dot above the column) are expected to remain unmapped.

(B) The distribution of each cluster’s abundance across samples, as determined by the average coverage of 13 single-copy marker genes.

(C) Cluster prevalence (the number of samples in which the cluster was “detectable”) within each host group, shown as a stacked bar plot.

See also Figures S1 and S2 and Tables S1 and S2.
expected, we found that set-specific variable KCs were much more common than highly variable KCs. In total, our analysis detected 5,004 set-specific variable KCs covering 1,859 KOs across the 40 genome clusters examined (Figure 3; Table S4). In general, we observed more cases of set-specific increased copy number than of set-specific decreased copy number, but this ratio shifted markedly across clusters, and in certain clusters (i.e., Clostridium sp., Streptococcus thermophilus) mostly set-specific decreased KCs were observed.

Detected Variation Captures Both Known and Novel Strain Variation
As validation of our pipeline and results, we compared the set of highly variable KCs obtained for each cluster to known variation among the cluster’s sequenced reference genomes. Clearly, the reference genomes in our database do not capture the full extent of intra-species variation in the gut microbiome. Similarly, our samples likely do not include much of the variation present in our reference genomes, as many of these reference genomes represent strains isolated from clinically distinct individuals, phenotypically diverse cohorts, or non-gut samples. Accordingly, a large number of genes that vary in copy number across reference genomes may still exhibit consistent copy number across the gut samples analyzed above. Yet, the set of detected highly variable genes, which aims to include genes that vary frequently in their copy number across genomes, is likely to capture many instances of known variation in gene content among available reference genomes. Indeed, considering the 15 multiple-genome clusters in our database, a striking 81% of the detected highly variable KCs also vary in copy number across reference genomes (Figure 4). Moreover, in seven of these clusters, all highly variable KCs also vary in copy number across reference genomes. Notably, six of these clusters contain at least three genomes, whereas the majority of the other clusters contain only two, suggesting that more sequenced strains may be needed to fully capture the variation associated with these clusters (and more importantly, with clusters for which only a single genome was available). Importantly, we demonstrated that a similar overlap can be observed when comparing predicted variation to known variation across a large collection of genomes not included in our database, confirming that this overlap is not an artifact of the specific reference genomes used in our analysis (Figures 4B and 4C; Extended Experimental Procedures). Comparison of detected set-specific variation to variation observed across two manually assembled Citrobacter strains further revealed significant overlap (Extended Experimental Procedures).

Functions Associated with Variable Genes
We examined whether the detected copy-number variation was associated with specific functions in each genome cluster. We first used enrichment analysis to identify functions that were
over-represented among the set of highly variable KCs in each cluster. We found that transport-related functions were overwhelmingly prone to high copy-number variation (Table S6). Specifically, ten of the genome clusters analyzed were enriched for variation in KCs associated with transport annotations, including the general BRITE term “Transporter,” as well as more specific modules related to either sugar or iron complex transport. For example, within the *Bacteroides ovatus* cluster, seven of the cluster’s 66 transport-associated KCs were highly variable (Figure 5), including all three KCs (K02013, K02015, K02016) involved in a specific iron complex transport system module (M00240). Interestingly, significant variation in sugar transport functions was only found among clusters in the phyla *Firmicutes* and *Actinobacteria*, whereas *Bacteroidetes* clusters were uniquely associated with variation in the iron complex transport system (see Table S6). Studies of cultured organisms from various environments and experimental evolution assays have suggested that loss, amplification, and acquisition of transport functions constitute a primary adaptive mechanism (Gevers et al., 2004; Heikkinen et al., 2007; Lee and Marx, 2012; Sonti and Roth, 1989); here, we show that this flexibility in the copy number of transport genes likely extends to a considerable proportion of prevalent gut species and that, within this general class, specific transport genes may facilitate adaptation to the gut environment.

We additionally found that motility-related KCs were highly variable in the *Eubacterium rectale* genome cluster. Specifically, in this cluster, 7 of the 38 highly variable KCs were bacterial motility proteins, of which four were structural flagellar components, two were involved in chemotaxis, and one was essential for twitching motility (Han et al., 2008). Motility proteins, and especially flagellar proteins, are widely associated with virulence and immunostimulation, and the gain or loss of flagellar components is believed to be an important adaptive mechanism (Borziak et al., 2013; Heikkinen et al., 2007; Al Mamun et al., 1997). Moreover, variation in these seven KCs was highly consistent within samples; most samples contained either detectable copies of all seven KCs or no (or low number of) copies of all of these KCs (Figure S6). Though we found no variation in the copy number of any of these genes among the three sequenced reference genomes included in the *Eubacterium rectale* cluster in our database, a recent study of 27 elderly gut metagenomes identified non-uniform coverage of genes involved in the flagellum biogenesis pathways of six *Eubacterium* and *Roseburia* species (Neville et al., 2013), suggesting that the current reference genomes may not capture the full dynamic range of these species.

Next, we considered the collection of set-specific variable KCs and examined their functional annotations. Interestingly, hierarchical clustering of set-specific variable KOs based solely on their variation profile across the 40 clusters revealed distinct groups of functionally related genes that vary in a given genome cluster or within multiple clusters (Figure 3). For example, a large set of genes related to cell growth and

---

**Figure 4. Comparison of Highly Variable KCs to Known Variation among Reference Genomes**

(A) In each Venn diagram, the gray circle represents the set of all KCs in a given genome cluster, the pink circle represents the fraction of those KCs exhibiting copy-number variation across the cluster’s reference genomes, and the red circle represents the set of KCs detected as highly variable. Overlap of the pink and red circles indicates correspondence between known and detected variation. Each diagram is labeled with the cluster ID, representative species name, and number of reference genomes.

(B and C) Additional variation in reference genomes that were not used as mapping targets is represented by either an orange circle (additional reference genomes from IMG) or a yellow circle (additional reference genomes from NCBI), compared to variation in included reference genomes (pink) and detected highly variable KCs (red).

See also Figure S5.
sporulation were all identified as set-specific variable KCs in the two genome clusters associated with Clostridium sp. Similarly, a set of sugar metabolism genes were all identified as set-specific variable KCs in Roseburia intestinalis, and a number of antibiotic resistance genes were identified as variable in multiple genome clusters, primarily those in the Firmicutes phylum. An enrichment analysis of functions associated with set-specific variable KCs in each cluster additionally revealed a number of important functions that were prone to copy-number variation (Table S6). For example, genes in the lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis pathway in Dialister invisus and Clostridium sp. were often observed with a higher copy number in a small set of samples. Interestingly, variation within functions related to sugar metabolism (i.e., KEGG pathways galactose metabolism, starch and sucrose metabolism, fructose and mannose metabolism, polyketide sugar unit biosynthesis) was observed primarily within Bacteroidetes clusters, whereas set-specific transport-related variation was almost absent from these clusters. Other functions enriched for set-specific variable KCs suggest transitions between virulent states, such as motility in butyrate-producing bacteria (NCBI accession FP929062), Eubacterium rectale, and Clostridium sp.; streptomycin biosynthesis in Acidaminococcus sp.; lysozyme production in Bacteroides ovatus; the EHEC/EPEC pathogenicity signature in Escherichia coli; and secretion systems in butyrate-producing bacteria (NCBI accession FP929062), Clostridium sp., and Escherichia coli. Within Escherichia coli, type II secretion system genes were identified as set-specific decreased copy-number KCs, whereas type III secretion system genes were identified as set-specific increased copy-number KCs. Overall, much of the observed variation appeared to be associated with the way a species responds to and interacts with its surroundings, highlighting the strong adaptive potential of gut-associated bacteria.

Clearly, different cohorts could harbor different sets of strains owing to an assortment of ecological or host-specific factors, and accordingly different genes may vary in copy number in different datasets. Notably, however, analysis of a second data set of 73 gut samples from a Chinese cohort (Qin et al., 2012) yielded a marked overlap with our original Danish/Spanish cohort in both the set of KCs identified as variable and the set of functions enriched for copy-number variation (Extended Experimental Procedures). These findings suggest that, although variation may be personal, certain genes and functions (e.g., those related to environmental adaptation) may be universally prone to variation.

**Host State-Associated Variation**

Although much of the variation across strains may reflect neutral processes or transitory dynamics, some variation may represent adaptation to a specific host phenotype. To detect such potentially adaptive variation, we identified variable KCs in which the copy number in samples from obese or IBD subjects was significantly different than in samples from healthy subjects (Experimental Procedures). In total, we found 24 KCs whose copy number was significantly associated with IBD and three KCs whose copy number was significantly associated with obesity (FDR < 0.05; Table S7).

Interestingly, a number of these KCs have been previously implicated in adverse host health states. For example, in our analysis, obesity was associated with a higher copy number of thioredoxin 1 (K03671) in Clostridium sp. (Figure 6A), and indeed thioredoxin reductase was recently shown to be enriched in the cecal metaproteome of mice fed a high-fat diet (Daniel et al., 2014). Such results are consistent with thioredoxin’s regulatory role in maintaining redox equilibrium and the demonstrated links between a high-fat diet and oxidative stress in mammals (Furukawa et al., 2004). Additionally, in our analysis, the loss of a ubiquinone-reducing gene (K00349; nqrD) from Bacteroides plebeius was associated with obesity. A recent study in mice showed that supplemental ubiquinone reduced inflammation and metabolic stress accompanying a high-fat high-fructose diet by reducing the expression of certain genes associated with obesity (FDR < 0.05; Table S7).

![Figure 5. Copy Number of Highly Variable Transport KCs in Bacteroides ovatus](image-url)

The size and color of each square represent the copy number of each highly variable KC within each sample. Samples are grouped by host state (I, IBD; h, healthy; o, obese). The copy numbers of the 13 marker KCs in this genome cluster are illustrated for comparison. See also Figure S6.
with stress-response (Sohet et al., 2009), while mice not receiving the supplement gained more weight than their counterparts. Importantly, however, ubiquinol, the reduced form of ubiquinone, has recently been shown to be the more readily absorbed and more active form of the compound (Langsjoen and Langsjoen, 2014), raising the possibility that loss of microbial ubiquinone-reducing capabilities from certain species may hinder the effectiveness and protective capacity of ubiquinone in the host. Other findings shed new light on the role of individual species in disease, with evidence of variation associated with common disease hallmarks, such as pathogenicity-related secretion and antibiotic resistance. In *Roseburia inulinivorans* (Figure 6B), increased copy number of a gene (K08217) coding for a major drug efflux protein known to play a role in antibiotic resistance was highly associated with IBD-afflicted individuals. Similarly, HlyD (K01993), an essential component of RTX hemolytic toxin secretion (Pimenta et al., 2005), exhibited increased copy number in IBD samples in *Bacteroides uniformis*. See Table S7 for a full list of disease-associated KCs. Interestingly, none of the obesity-associated KCs and only 3 of the 24 IBD-associated KCs were found to vary significantly in the Chinese cohort described above, among whom only one individual was obese and none were reported as having IBD.

**Strain-Level Deconvolution of Microbiome Composition and Intra-Species Population Structure**

Clearly, the microorganisms of different individuals can house multiple strains of the same species with potentially different relative abundances. Our copy-number estimates for each cluster accordingly represent average copy numbers across the different strains in the sample. Next, we therefore examined whether these estimates can be used to obtain insights into strain-level population structure, going beyond species-level composition assays and focusing specifically on the composition of strains within each genome cluster rather than on the abundance of the cluster itself.

First, we explored how well the copy-number profiles obtained for each genome cluster in each sample can be explained by known reference strains, using a regression analysis to deconvolve these copy-number profiles into a linear combination of the strains included in our database (Experimental Procedures). Obviously, these strains may not encompass the full set of strains present in the samples analyzed, yet such an analysis may be useful in examining what portion of the observed variation can be accounted for by known strains and what portion represents potentially novel variation. Indeed, we found that, in well-characterized clusters with many sequenced genomes,
the copy-number profiles of most samples could be well explained by a linear combination of known strains. For example, in the *Escherichia coli* cluster that comprised 63 sequenced genomes in our database, 76% of the variation in copy number could be explained on average by these genomes (R² = 0.76 ± 0.12). In this cluster, the inferred representation of each strain differed widely across samples, with some strains (i.e., *Escherichia coli O111:H- str. 11128*) highly represented across multiple samples and others found in just one sample. However, for less well-characterized clusters with only a few known strains in our database, in some cases just a subset of the observed copy-number variation could be explained. For example, the four known strains of *Streptococcus thermophilus* could be used to explain a majority of the variation observed in some of the samples (R² > 0.5) yet failed to explain the variation observed in four of the samples (R² < 0), suggesting the existence of potentially novel, yet-to-be-sequenced variation (Figure 7A).

To further compare copy-number variation profiles across samples and to examine variation that may not be captured by known strains (including notably, in clusters comprising only one known strain), we used a principal coordinate analysis. This analysis revealed a complex population structure within each cluster, with marked differences among samples indicating the prevalence of personalized variation. For a number of genome clusters, however, samples appear to group into distinct sets, potentially reflecting individuals with similar intra-species population structures (Figure 7B). Moreover, by including the reference genomes in this principal coordinate analysis, we were able to distinguish previously captured variation versus novel variation observed across samples. For example, the principal coordinate plot for the *Streptococcus thermophilus* genome cluster (Figure 7B) clearly demonstrates that, although the copy-number profiles of most samples clustered tightly with several known reference genomes, the four poorly explained samples mentioned above clustered together and contained variation that was distinct from any reference genome. Such a pattern may indicate the presence of novel shared strains, providing a promising basis for targeted sequencing. Similar patterns were also observed in other clusters, in which a distinct, tightly clustered subset of samples or individual samples exhibit markedly different copy-number profile from that of any sequenced genome (Figures S7A and S7B). Overall though, each genome cluster exhibited a unique population structure across individuals, highlighting the complex suite of forces governing taxonomic composition in the gut (Levy and Borenstein, 2013).

**DISCUSSION**

By and large, closely related organisms tend to encode similar sets of genes. This consistency is in fact often used to infer functional capacity from taxonomy (Langille et al., 2013; Zaneveld et al., 2010). Clearly, however, this relationship between phylogeny and gene content is imperfect, and each species represents a large collection of strains that differ in the set of genes they encode, the copy number of these genes, and ultimately, their functional capacity. Above, we have focused on identifying instances in which this relationship between microbial species
and genes breaks, presenting a large-scale analysis of copy-number variation in a diverse array of gut species. Our analysis has demonstrated that copy-number variation is prevalent in the gut environment, with some species exhibiting significant copy-number variation in >20% of their genes. Such variation may induce significant microbiome-wide shifts and may account for at least some of the observed discrepancies between trends observed at the species levels versus trends measured at the gene level. Moreover, intra-species variation was shown to be especially prevalent in genes involved in specific functions, most notably functions that impact the way an organism interacts with its environment such as transport and signaling processes. This may suggest an adaptive dynamic by which certain species respond to changes in community composition or in the gut niche and a potentially crucial role of the gut environment acting with its environment such as transport and signaling processes. Our analysis further identified variable functions that may correlate with host states, exhibiting differential copy number in specific genomes. It remains unclear, however, whether such host state-associated variation is a cause or an effect. Our framework additionally facilitated the inference of intra-species population profiles for each individual, suggesting that most individuals harbor multiple strains of each species.

Though still far from an exhaustive catalog of strains that may be present across all human gut microbiomes, the framework presented above represents the most comprehensive account of copy-number variation in the human gut microbiome to date. It is our hope that this framework and the results presented here will inform future studies of strain-level microbiome composition, demonstrating the extent of functional information that is lost by limiting characterization to the level of species and prompting further investigation and sequencing of strain-level features. Yet, there are clearly a number of caveats that should be considered in designing such future efforts. First, our analysis is limited to the detection of variation in gut species for which at least one fully sequenced genome is available, and future studies may benefit from additional genomes. Notably though, we did not detect significantly more variation in clusters for which more reference genomes were available. In addition, our pipeline was designed to detect gene losses or amplifications but cannot identify gain of genes that are not present in any of the reference genomes included in the genome cluster. Such gain or transfer events may represent an additional substantial source of intra-species variation (Smillie et al., 2011). Our framework could, however, further facilitate future efforts to study sequence divergence among duplicated genes, informing our view of neo-functionalization and conservation processes in the microbiome.

Notably, in our analysis, we focused on detecting high-confidence instances of variation, applying conservative parameters for read alignment and for variability calling. Specifically, we limit our analysis to “detectable” genome clusters, defined as those with >1× coverage in the sample. Our analysis of a synthetic dataset confirmed that, in such clusters, copy-number estimates can be inferred with 96% accuracy but that prediction accuracy dropped significantly in genome clusters with lower coverage (Figure S4B and Extended Experimental Procedures). With 13 million reads per sample (the lowest sequencing depth in the cohort analyzed), species that comprise >0.4% of the sample are likely to be considered detectable by our pipeline (while a higher sequencing depth of a sample will clearly allow analysis of even rarer species). Future studies may relax some of these parameters or incorporate additional information (e.g., gene conservation) to detect more subtle variation. Finally, as with most studies relating microbiome composition to function, our analysis relies on the availability of functional gene databases, which may contain incomplete or erroneous annotations. By considering variation across samples rather than variation from reference genomes, our analysis is largely robust to such annotation inaccuracies. Interestingly, however, variable KCs identified by our analysis were much more likely to lack a functional annotation than non-variable KCs, suggesting that much of the detected variation in gene content has as yet uncharacterized consequences. Combined, these results highlight both the need for additional genome sequences and the importance of continued efforts for characterizing gene function. Ultimately, analysis of intra-species variation in microbial communities is crucial for understanding the complex relationship between species composition and community-level functional capacity. Our analysis, quantifiably characterizing such variation in the gut microbiome, is an important first step in this direction, and the resulting dataset provides an essential resource for future predictive studies.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Metagenomic Samples and Reference Genomes**

Gut metagenomic data for 109 Danish and Spanish individuals, including individuals afflicted with obesity or IBD, was obtained from (Qin et al., 2010). A list of 261 dominant and prevalent human gut microbial strains, grouped into 101 genome clusters (Table S1) based on sequence similarity of 40 marker genes, was obtained from (Schloissnig et al., 2013). Nucleotide contig sequences, gene calls, and amino acid protein sequences were downloaded for each genome, and protein sequences were annotated with KEGG orthologous groups (KOGs). See Extended Experimental Procedures for more details.

**Calculation of Copy-Number Estimates**

Shotgun metagenomic reads were aligned to the set of reference genomes with BWA, using parameters and filters carefully validated by extensive simulation analyses (Figures S1 and S2; Extended Experimental Procedures). In total, 2,469,102,286 reads were mapped. Average coverage over each gene region was determined using samtools (Li et al., 2009), and the coverage of each KC (KO-cluster pair) was obtained by summing over all genes annotated with the same KO and genome cluster. KC coverage was normalized by cluster abundance, defined as the average coverage over a set of 13 universal marker KOs (Figure S3B; Extended Experimental Procedures), to obtain the estimated copy number \( V_{\text{num}} \) of each KO in each cluster, and in each sample, s.

“Detectable KCs” in a sample were defined as those with \( V_{\text{num}} > 0.5 \). “Detectable clusters” within each sample were defined as those with at least 12 detectable marker KCs and average marker coverage \( \geq 1 \). KCs that were not detectable in any sample were removed from the analysis.

**Detection of Highly Variable and Set-Specific Variable KCs**

For each of the 40,088 KCs present in clusters detectable in at least ten samples, the median copy number (baseline) across samples and the MAD
(median absolute deviation) from this baseline were calculated. KCs with a MAD more than 2 SDs from the MAD distribution mean (MAD > 0.6346) were considered highly variable. KCs in which at least 10% of samples had a copy number that exceeded the baseline by this threshold were considered set-specific increased variable KCs. Set-specific decreased KCs were similarly defined as KCs in which at least 10% of samples had a copy number that fell below the baseline by this threshold.

**Detection of Host State-Associated KCs**

A KC was defined as obesity associated if the copy numbers in samples from obese individuals were significantly higher or significantly lower than the copy numbers in samples from non-obese individuals, according to a two-sample t test (FDR-corrected p < 0.05). IBD-associated KCs were similarly defined. Samples that were labeled as both obese and IBD were omitted from this analysis.

**Copy-Number Profile Deconvolution and Principal Coordinate Analysis**

For each sample, a non-negative least-squares linear regression analysis was performed to obtain the linear combination of reference genomes in each multi-genome cluster, optimally explaining the copy-number estimates of variable KCs. The regression was constrained such that the sum of genome weights for each sample and cluster equaled one. Prediction error was defined as the R² value for each sample. A principal coordinate analysis was also performed for every genome cluster, operating on the pairwise Euclidian distance matrix of set-specific variable KC copy numbers in each sample and each sequenced reference genome.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and seven tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.038.
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**EXTENDED EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Metagenomic Samples**

Metagenomic data were obtained from (Qin et al., 2010), a study characterizing the gut microbiome of Danish and Spanish individuals, including individuals afflicted with obesity or IBD. Illumina-derived shotgun reads (75bp) from 109 samples were downloaded from the DDBJ ftp site (Kodama et al., 2012) at ftp://ftp.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/ddbj_database/dra/fastq/ERA000/ERA000116/ (Table S2). Additional samples from this study sequenced with 44bp reads were not included in our analysis. A second dataset, including 73 samples from a Chinese cohort was obtained from (Qin et al., 2012).

**Reference Genomes and Annotation**

A list of 261 dominant and prevalent human gut microbial strains was obtained from (Schloissnig et al., 2013). Reference genomes with corresponding taxon IDs were downloaded from NCBI’s GenBank when present, or from NCBI’s draft genome submissions. One genome was not present in either and was omitted from further analysis (Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi B, taxonID: 272994). Nucleotide contig sequences, gene calls, and amino acid protein sequences were downloaded for each genome, and protein sequences were annotated with KEGG orthologous groups (KOs) using BLASTp against KEGG v. 8/6/2012 limited to prokaryote peptide sequences. Proteins with multiple best hits were annotated with all best hits, weighted by the number of hits for each KO.

**Alignment of Reads to Reference Sequences**

Shotgun short reads from the 109 metagenomic samples were aligned to the 260 reference genomes using BWA. Extensive simulations were performed to determine appropriate mapping parameters and identify reliable mapping targets (see sections below). Each read was mapped to the reference sequence(s) with the smallest edit distance, weighted by the number of tied hits. Reads with an edit distance > 5, or reads mapping equally to more than 75 regions were considered unmapped. In total, 2,469,102,286 reads were mapped to one or more reference genomes with these parameters.

**Evaluating Reference Genome Cluster Definitions and Read Mappability**

The 260 reference genomes were assigned to 101 clusters, according to sequence similarity of 40 marker genes (Schloissnig et al., 2013). The clustering was performed in a previous study, with clusters serving as a proxy for species and individual genomes within a cluster representing instances of intra-species genomic variation. Clusters ranged in size; many clusters contained just one genome, while the largest cluster contained 63 genomes (Table S1). Clusters could contain genomes from a single taxonomic clade or several clades, though most clusters agreed with current species definitions.

To validate that these clusters were suitable for our mapping pipeline, we performed multiple simulation-based analyses. Specifically, we aimed to examine whether short reads that originate from a given genome and a given gene map to the correct genome cluster and to the correct KO. Notably, such reads are not necessarily required to map solely to the genome from which they originated (as this genome will often not be available in the reference genomes database) nor to the exact gene they originated from. Rather, reads should map to some genome (or genomes) from the same cluster, and to gene regions with the same KO annotation. Moreover, for our pipeline to correctly estimate gene copy numbers, mapping should also be robust to sequencing errors and should correctly exclude reads originating from genomes not represented by any of the clusters included in our analysis.

To this end, custom perl scripts were used to simulate reads by extracting randomly selected stretches of 75 base pairs from the KO-annotated gene regions of 10 query genomes from 8 different genome clusters (Bifidobacterium longum NCC2705, Streptococcus mitis B6, Bacteroides ovatus ATCC 8483, Bacteroides vulgatus ATCC 8482, Escherichia coli SMS-3-5, Alistipes putredinis DSM 17216, Citrobacter youngae ATCC 29220, Eubacterium rectale ATCC 33656, Prevotella copri DSM 18205, Bacteroides vulgatus PC510). Simulated reads were then aligned concurrently to the set of reference genomes, with a maximum allowable edit distance of 5 and up to 75 tied best alignments reported (see also next section, “Validating maximum edit distance for read alignment”). Alignment results were parsed to bin each read according to the cluster and KO from which the read originated (query KC) and the cluster and KO to which it mapped best. Specifically, reads could be unmapped, mapped to > 75 different regions, mapped to a genome from the correct cluster or mapped to a genome from an incorrect cluster, and reads could be mapped to a region associated with the correct KO, an incorrect KO, an unannotated gene region, or an intergenic region. Reads mapping to multiple regions were given fractional counts distributed evenly across the set of corresponding KCs. For regions with multiple annotations, if any of the query KOs matched any of the target KOs, the target was considered to be the ‘right KO’.

We first mapped 45,855 simulated KO-annotated reads from the 10 query genomes above to the full set of 260 reference genomes (which includes the 10 query genomes). As expected, each read correctly mapped to the genomic region from which it originated. Clearly, however, many reads mapped equally well to other regions. When distributing read counts across all tied alignments as described above, we found that 62.1% of fractional counts were assigned to the correct KO in the original genome, 36.2% were assigned to the correct KO in a different genome from the same cluster, and only 1.7% of fractional counts were incorrectly assigned (Figure S1A); 0.4% were assigned to the wrong cluster, while 1.3% were not assigned to any cluster (either because the aligned region was intergenic or unannotated, or the read mapped to > 75 regions). This finding suggests that the cluster definitions and
parameters used allow reads to map uniquely to the genome of origin or to an identical region from another genome in the same genome cluster, and that such identical regions are only rarely found in another genome cluster.

To assess the effect of short-read sequencing errors, we next applied a position-dependent error profile created with Ibis (Kircher et al., 2009) from an Illumina sequencing run, uniformly modified with custom Perl scripts to achieve 1.5% error rate. These error-adjusted reads were then aligned to the set of 260 reference genomes, as above. Evidently, the addition of an error model did not markedly change the mapping accuracy observed above (and none of the read mapping statistics reported above changed by more than 1%; Figure S1B). Again, relatively few reads remained unmapped (e.g., reads assigned to the ‘no cluster’ bin, which may now also include reads that were unmapped due to sequencing errors), and reads from each genome were still far more likely to be aligned to regions within the correct genome cluster rather than regions in another genome cluster.

As noted above, a primary assumption of our read alignment pipeline is that reads from a strain which would group with one of the clusters in our database but for which a reference genome is not yet available, will still map to a reference genome within the correct cluster. This allows us to detect novel intra-cluster variation at regions of altered coverage. We further assume that such reads will map to regions from the same orthologous group of genes, as defined by KEGG (KOs). To validate these assumptions, we re-aligned the error-adjusted reads from the above simulation to the reference database, but now, when aligning each read, we removed the genome of origin from the database. Overall, we found that among reads for which the query KC was present in the database, 66.8% of fractional counts were correctly mapped to the same KC as the query, while 20.8% were incorrectly unmapped, and only 0.8% were mapped to the wrong KC (Figure S1C). In some cases however, removing the genome of origin resulted in a reference database in which the correct KC was no longer present – either because the removed genome was the only one in the cluster, or because no other genome in the cluster contained the KO. In these cases, we defined an unmapped read as ‘correctly unmapped’, while a read mapping to any other KC was defined as ‘incorrectly mapped’. Among reads for which the query KC was no longer present, 98.9% were correctly unmapped, and 1.1% were mapped to another KC. These findings indicate that the specificity of our pipeline is high; even when the genome of origin was removed from the database, reads mostly aligned to the query KC when it was present, and were almost always unmapped when it was not. Notably, a significant number of reads remained unmapped at a maximum edit distance of 5 when a correct KC was present. However, most of these reads came from 2 specific genomes, while the false negative rate in the other 8 genomes was very low. As noted below, we address extreme cases of genomes with consistently false mapping by filtering the set of reference genomes and removing genomes with high mapping error rates.

To determine whether these trends hold true on a more global scale, below we additionally examined simulated reads from all 260 reference genomes (see ‘Determining mapping error rates and filtering clusters and KOs’).

Validating Maximum Edit Distance for Read Alignment
Since edit distance was used as the primary threshold for short read alignments, we additionally performed a simulation-based analysis to confirm that a maximum edit distance (MED) of 5 would allow reads to be aligned uniquely to the correct KC, while minimizing both the number of unmapped reads and incorrectly mapped reads. For this simulation, we again mapped the error-adjusted reads simulated from all 260 genomes to a reference database in which the genome of origin had been removed as described above, but this time allowed best alignments at a range of MEDs from 0 to 10. We then examined changes in mapping accuracy over this range of MEDs (Figure S1D). We found that at all MEDs greater than 0, the majority of reads were either correctly mapped or correctly unmapped (i.e., when the query KC was no longer in the reference database). The number of correctly mapped reads increased rapidly from a MED of 0 to a MED of 5, and remained relatively stable at higher MEDs. Notably, the number of incorrectly mapped reads continued to increase over the entire range of MEDs tested, suggesting that a MED much higher than 5 should not be used. Among reads for which the KC was present, the major source of erroneous mappings was to unannotated regions in the correct cluster (Figure S1D-inset). This may imply that the correct KO in fact exists in this cluster, but has not been correctly annotated as a gene, or has perhaps lost its functionality and become a pseudogene. Though these mapping errors stabilized at MEDs > 5, the rate of incorrect mappings to the right KO in the wrong cluster continued to increase both for reads for which the KC was present in the database, as well as those for which it was absent. In light of the above analysis, a MED of 5 was used in the alignment of all sample data to the 260 reference genomes.

Determining Mapping Error Rates and Filtering Reference Genomes and KOs
To confirm that the mapping accuracy observed above for the 10 query genomes and the mapping parameters optimized in the previous section for the read alignment pipeline apply on a more global scale, we simulated reads from all 260 reference genomes and repeated the analysis described above. We found that the majority of reads still mapped to the correct KC when it was present in the database (65.1%), and correctly remained unmapped when it was not (23.1%), with a total error rate (incorrectly mapped + incorrectly unmapped) of only 11.8%.

To further improve the accuracy of our pipeline, we additionally examined whether there were a small number of genomes or KOs which were especially prone to incorrect mapping and that contributed disproportionally to observed inaccuracies, potentially due to various evolutionary and technical factors. We therefore assessed the accuracy of our pipeline for each of the 260 genomes (Figure S2A) and each of the 4,304 KOs from which at least 100 reads had been simulated (Figure S2C). Specifically, we used the simulations described above and calculated a mapping error rate for each genome and each KO, defined as the percent of simulated reads originating from the KO or genome that were incorrectly unmapped or incorrectly mapped. We identified 25 genomes with error
rates > 40% (Figure S2B). Excluding these genomes from our analysis, we find that the overall genome-wide error rate is reduced by nearly half; among the remaining set of 235 genomes, 68.7% of reads were correctly mapped, while 25.1% of reads were correctly unmapped, and only 6.2% of reads were incorrect (1.4% incorrectly mapped; 4.8% incorrectly unmapped). The rest of the analysis was carried out with this filtered set of 235 genomes, corresponding to 96 clusters. Error rates for the KOs varied greatly, however the vast majority (4,272 KOs) had an error rate ≤ 50%. Most of these errors were due to incorrectly unmapped reads and only 8.5% of KOs had any incorrectly mapped reads. These errors could conceivably be due to either misannotation or low intra-species sequence conservation, among other factors. For the rest of our analysis, we focused only on KOs with a combined error rate ≤ 50%, excluding the 35 KOs with a higher error rate (Figure S2D).

Identifying and Validating Marker KOs

We set out to identify a set of marker KOs whose coverage could be used as a proxy for the abundance of each genome cluster in each sample. Ideally, each of these KOs would be present in exactly one copy in each reference genome (high universality), would have a low mapping error rate in our simulated alignments (high alignment accuracy), and would have consistent relative coverage by reads from any given metagenomic sample (high coverage consistency). We accordingly obtained the set of 40 marker COGs used by Schloissnig et al. (Schloissnig et al., 2013), translated COG annotations to KO annotations using a KEGG-generated mapping file (http://www.genome.jp/files/ko2cog.xl), and filtered the associated KOs to a smaller set based on the three criteria described above. Specifically, we defined universality as the percent of reference genomes (out of 260) in which the KO had a copy number ≥ 1. We defined alignment accuracy as 1 minus the KO mapping error rate (see Determining mapping error rates and filtering genomes and KOs, above). To assess coverage consistency, we first summed the coverage of each KO in each sample across all clusters, normalized by the mean within each sample, and recorded the distance between these values and 1. For each KO, coverage consistency was defined as 1 minus the average across all samples. We filtered the 40 KOs to identify those with universality > 0.95, alignment accuracy > 0.9, and coverage consistency > 0.85 (Figure S3A). 13 KOs met all three criteria (Figure S3B) and were used in the final analysis as marker KOs for calculation of cluster abundance (see Experimental Procedures).

Comparison of Highly Variable and Set-Specific Variable KCs to Known Strain Variation

To verify our data processing pipeline, we examined the overlap between KOs identified as variable across samples by our analysis and KCs that vary in copy number across reference genomes in our database. As described in the main text, overall, this overlap was very high (80.9% for highly variable KOs, 70.4% for set-specific variable KOs). To ensure however that this high overlap was not due to some detection bias stemming from the use of these reference genomes in our pipeline, we wished to confirm that a similar overlap can also be observed when comparing our predicted variation to variation found between genomes not included in our database.

We therefore first identified three single-genome clusters - cluster 22 (Dorea longicatena), cluster 23 (Ruminococcus lactaris), and cluster 34 (Dorea formicigeners) – each of which could be associated with an additional annotated reference genome from IMG (Markowitz et al., 2012) representing a different strain from this cluster (Dorea longicatena AGR2136, Dorea formicigeners 4_6_53AFAA, Ruminococcus lactaris CCS9_002D). These ‘new’ genomes were not included in our reference database and were therefore not used as targets in the read alignment process. For consistency, we downloaded from IMG the KO annotations for both the ‘new’ genomes and for the three corresponding ‘reference’ genomes already in our database, and limited our analysis to KOs for which IMG annotations for the reference genomes agreed with the annotations in our database. We also examined 44 newly-sequenced reference strains from the NCBI database that were sequenced after our initial analysis, and were therefore not included in the original alignment and annotation pipeline. We annotated each of these additional genomes using the same KEGG BLAST pipeline as with the main reference set (see Experimental Procedures).

We compared the KCs identified as variable by our analysis with KOs that vary in copy number between the reference genomes used for mapping and the newly obtained genomes from either IMG or NCBI. Examining the variation present in the IMG genomes, we find high overlap with detected variable KCs, with 71%, 64%, and 39% of the KCs that were identified as highly variable across samples by our analysis in clusters 22, 34 and 23 respectively corresponding to KCs that vary in copy number between the reference genome and the new genome (Figure 4B). Importantly, these values are comparable to the overlap observed in the 4 genomes clusters in our database in which two reference genomes were included as alignment targets (mean 63%), suggesting that variation detected by our pipeline was not unduly influenced by the specific strains used as references during read alignment. When examining set-specific variable KCs, this overlap was still high (47%, 45%, and 28% for the three clusters respectively), yet as demonstrated for other clusters, identified variable KCs further included many instances of novel variation (Figure S5B). Examining the additional genomes from NCBI (Figures 4C and S5C) we find 302 instances in which copy number variation detected in our samples (including 39 highly variable KCs and 263 set-specific variable KCs) was reflected in copy number differences in these additional sequenced reference genomes. In the two cases in which additional genomes were examined for clusters that originally were represented by only a single reference, over 70% of the detected highly variable KCs, and close to 60% of set-specific variable KCs exhibited copy number differences between the original and additional genome.

As additional validation, we compared our results to specific instances of known copy number variation detected across two manually assembled genomes representing distinct strains of Citrobacter found in the deeply sequenced gut microbiome of a premature infant (Morowitz et al., 2011), and observed a significant overlap in the sets of variable genes. Specifically, functional differences between the known strains included the presence or absence of fimbrial genes and genes involved in phenylacetate
degradation. Within our dataset, we found that 13 of the 14 phenylacetate degradation KCs in the genome cluster containing Citrobacter genomes, and 7 of the 12 fimbrial KCs were identified as set-specific variable KCs. While it is not expected that our samples, obtained from European adults, would necessarily harbor the same strains found in a single premature infant, the similarities in the types of functions that are subject to increased or decreased copy numbers are intriguing.

Cross-Validation of Variable KCs
To examine the robustness and sensitivity of our pipeline, we performed a cross-validation analysis, testing whether significantly high variation detected using a subset of our samples is predictive of variation observed in the remaining non-overlapping subset of samples. We focused on the 30 genome clusters that were identified as present in at least 20 samples. For each cluster, the samples containing this cluster were randomly divided into 5 equally populated cross-validation groups. For each cluster we then performed 5 rounds of highly variable KC detection using a pipeline, each time leaving out a different sample group (testing set) and detecting variation only based on the remaining 4 groups combined (training set). We then examined whether KCs detected as highly variable in the training set also exhibited significantly higher variation among samples in the testing set by comparing the median absolute deviation of these genes to the median absolute deviation of KCs not detected as variable and using a t-test to assess significance. We found that across all rounds of cross-validation and in each of the 30 clusters tested, genes detected as highly variable in the training set indeed exhibited higher variation in the testing set, confirming the robustness of our pipeline and demonstrating that high variability observed in the copy number of certain genes is not merely due to some extreme (and potentially spurious) variation in just one or a few samples.

Mock Community Simulation and Analysis
To assess the accuracy of our pipeline and the resolution of our variable KC detection scheme, we created a synthetic dataset of metagenomic samples in which cluster abundances and KC copy numbers were known a priori. Specifically, expanding on the simulation procedure described in (Carr and Borenstein, 2014), we generated 40 simulated samples, each of which consisted of 13 million 75-bp reads (comparable to the sample with the lowest sequencing depth in the Danish/Spanish cohort analyzed in our study) extracted at random from a sample-specific community of reference genomes. To generate these samples, 50 reference genomes from 50 different clusters (minimizing confounding variation) were chosen at random to be included in the simulation. For each sample, the community was constructed by randomly assigning a relative abundance (up to 25 fold variation) to each of the 50 reference genomes. We introduced gene-level variation by deleting or duplicating a subset of 50 + 35 randomly selected genes in each genome, using a probabilistic model that assigned randomly chosen gain and loss rates to each of these genes. 75-bp regions were then extracted from this simulated community of genomes, and subject to a 1.5% sequencing error model (see (Carr and Borenstein, 2014) for more details). We then used our framework to analyze these simulated samples, aligning simulated reads to the original set of 280 reference genomes, calculating species abundances and KC copy numbers as defined by our pipeline, and calling copy number variation.

We compared the obtained species abundances, copy number estimates, and predicted sets of variable KCs to the parameters used to generate the simulated samples in order to quantify the accuracy of our pipeline and its ability to recover species and gene features. As demonstrated in Figure S4A, species abundance prediction was extremely accurate with a correlation of 0.993 (p < 10^-100; Pearson correlation test) between predicted and real relative abundance values across the 40 simulated communities and 50 species analyzed, confirming our marker genes-based approach for inferring community composition. Similarly, we confirmed that our copy number estimates correctly recover the copy number of each gene in each genome cluster (Figure S4B). Copy number estimation accuracy increased with coverage, from 87.6% for genome clusters with low coverage (1x-2x), to 97.8% for clusters with higher coverage (> 5x). Estimation accuracy also depended on the underlying copy number, with low copy numbers predicted more accurately than high copy numbers. Overall, the copy number of 96% of KCs were correctly predicted in ‘detectable’ genome clusters (coverage > 1x as defined by our pipeline). Importantly, overall estimation accuracy dropped to 60.1% for undetectable clusters (coverage < 1x), justifying our decision to remove such clusters from downstream analysis. We further examined how many of the KCs in which variation was introduced when simulating the samples were identified as variable by our pipeline. Overall accuracy in detectable clusters was high (98.1%). Sensitivity and specificity were also high (98.8% and 98.1% respectively) though specificity decreased for KCs with high underlying copy numbers (e.g., 81.4% for KCs with copy number 4 and 70.1% for KCs with copy number 5), potentially due to decreased accuracy in copy number estimates reported above and resulting spurious inter-sample variability. Indeed the vast majority of KCs with high median copy number in the dataset analyzed in the main text were detected as variable by our pipeline, and while most of them likely represent true instances of variation (note, for example, that 77.6% of the KCs with median copy number > 5 vary in copy number among the genomes included in our reference set), our confidence in detecting variability in such KCs may be limited. Importantly, however, such KCs represent a very small fraction of the KCs in this dataset (e.g., only 0.56% of KCs have median copy number > 5). Yet, to confirm that such potentially spurious variable KCs do not affect our findings, we repeated our analysis of variable KCs filtering out all KCs with median copy number > 5 (see Table S4). We found that this did not qualitatively change the trends reported in the main text. Specifically, of the functional enrichments reported, 85% (91/107) still held with this filtered set of variable genes (Table S6). Similarly, the results reported in the main text with regard to individual variable KCs were not affected by this filtering. Finally, we examined whether our pipeline correctly classified KCs as highly versus set-specific variable, plotting the recall of variable genes and their classification as a function of the percentage of simulated samples in which...
each KC was deleted or duplicated (Figure S4C). This analysis again demonstrated that our pipeline not only successfully recovered the majority of variable KCs but was also able to distinguish between high frequency variation (KCs that vary in many samples) and set-specific variation (KCs that vary in only a small subset of samples).

Analysis of Variable Functions in an Additional Sample Set from a Chinese Cohort
Our findings in the Spanish/Danish cohort suggest that genes associated with specific functions (and in specific species) may be more prone to copy number variation than others. We therefore wished to examine whether the set of KCs and functional classes detected in each species as variable are similar across different cohorts. To this end, we applied our mapping and analysis pipeline to a second dataset of 73 gut microbiome samples from a Chinese cohort (obtained from (Qin et al., 2012)), and compared the detected variation in this dataset to variation detected in our original Danish/Spanish cohort. Mapping parameters and variability detection schemes were identical to those used for the primary dataset. Examining the 73 samples with 75-bp reads from this cohort, we identified 51 genome clusters present in at least one sample. 27 of these clusters were present in at least 10 samples in both datasets, and were assayed for KC variation in the new sample set, yielding 6,898 highly or set-specific variable KCs (Table S4). Overall, of the KCs detected as highly variable in the original dataset, 65% (350/538) were identified as highly variable also in the second dataset and 96% (515/538) were identified as either highly or set-specific variable in the second dataset. Of the KCs detected as set-specific variable in the original dataset, 75% (2710/3591) were identified as either highly or set-specific variable in the second dataset. Within each genome cluster, an over-representation analysis was performed to identify the functions associated with the set of variable KCs in each cluster (Table S6), as described in the analysis of the primary dataset in the main text. Examining the overlap in detected functional classes, 59% (44/74) of the associations reported in the main text for the 27 clusters examined were also found to be significantly associated with copy number variation in the second dataset and this overlap was higher (68%; 17/25) among transport-related functions. Similarly, 67% (10/15) of the functions associated specifically with highly variable genes were also significantly associated with highly variable genes in the second dataset. In certain clusters (i.e. Bacteroides ovatus and Roseburia inulinivorans), functions found to be over-represented among variable KCs were almost identical in the two datasets. These findings suggest that while the exact pattern of copy number variation may differ between different groups of individuals, certain genes and functions are universally prone to variation.

Mapping Rates for Metagenomic Reads to Reference Genomes
We mapped a total of 2.47 billion 75bp reads to 260 reference genomes (Table S1). On average, 34.7% of the reads in each sample could be mapped to a reference genome at an edit distance ≤ 5, although in some samples the mapping rate was as high as 71.5%. This average mapping rate is comparable to the one observed (31%) in mapping these reads to 194 gut-associated genomes in the original study that generated these reads (Qin et al., 2010), as well as to mapping rates observed in similar studies (Qin et al., 2012; Schloissnig et al., 2013). These rates are also not surprising given the complexity of gut-associated communities and the predicted prevalence of rare and uncharacterized species. Of the mapped reads, an average of 82.6% overlapped a gene coding region (of which over a third are annotated with a KO), which is in close agreement with the percentage of the total length of gene coding regions within the genomes in our reference database.
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75 bp reads were simulated from 10 reference genomes, and then mapped back to a full or partial reference set using BWA (Extended Experimental Procedures). Each column represents the proportion of reads simulated from a single genome that fell into various mapping categories. In (A) reads were simulated from 10 selected genomes, and mapped to the full set of 260 reference genomes. In (B) reads were subject to a 1.5% sequencing-error model before being mapped to the reference genomes, and in (C) reads were subject to the error model and then mapped to a set of reference genomes in which the genome of origin was removed. As demonstrated, reads mapped successfully to the genome of origin or to an alternative genome in the same cluster if present, while very few reads mapped to the wrong cluster, supporting our cluster definitions. In (D) simulated mapping results as described in panel C were summed over all 260 genomes and analyzed at a range of maximum edit distances. An edit distance of 5 maximized the number of correctly mapped (or correctly unmapped) reads while minimizing the number of incorrectly mapped reads. The inset shows the most frequent assignments of incorrectly mapped reads for which the correct KC was present in the database (gray squares: unannotated regions in the correct genome cluster; red squares: correct KO in the wrong genome cluster) or absent from the database (red circles: correct KO in the wrong genome cluster).
Figure S2. Genomes and KOs with High Mapping Error Rate, Related to Figure 2
75bp error-adjusted reads were mapped to a set of 260 reference genomes in which the genome of origin was removed (Extended Experimental Procedures; see also Figure S1C). The percent of reads simulated from each genome (A) or from each KO (C) that were correctly or incorrectly mapped are shown as stacked bars in each column. Genomes and KOs with a combined error rate (% incorrectly unmapped + % incorrectly mapped) \( \geq 50\% \) were excluded from further analysis.

The portions of panels A and C corresponding to these filtered genomes and KOs are magnified and shown in panels (B) and (D) respectively. Genome labels in panel B are formatted as genomeID_clusterID[cluster size] (and see Table S1).
Figure S3. Selection of Marker Kos, Related to Figure 1
(A) 13 marker KOs were selected from a list of 40 potential KOs according to three criteria: Universality > 0.95, Alignment Accuracy > 0.90, and Coverage Consistency > 0.85 and < 1.15 (Extended Experimental Procedures). Selected marker KOs are outlined in black, and listed in (B).

### Table B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KO ID</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>BRITE class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K03043</td>
<td>DNA-directed RNA polymerase, beta</td>
<td>Transcription machinery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02996</td>
<td>small subunit ribosomal protein S9</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02992</td>
<td>small subunit ribosomal protein S13</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02871</td>
<td>large subunit ribosomal protein L13</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K06942</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02942</td>
<td>small subunit ribosomal protein S3</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02933</td>
<td>large subunit ribosomal protein L6</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K01887</td>
<td>arginyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
<td>Amino acid related enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02986</td>
<td>small subunit ribosomal protein S4</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02863</td>
<td>large subunit ribosomal protein L1</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K01873</td>
<td>valyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
<td>Amino acid related enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02994</td>
<td>small subunit ribosomal protein S8</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K02876</td>
<td>large subunit ribosomal protein L15</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S4. Analysis of Simulated Reads from Mock Communities, Related to Figure 3

(A) Predicted versus real relative abundances of genome clusters across a set of simulated communities (see Extended Experimental Procedures). Each point represents a single genome cluster in a single sample, with different clusters represented by different colors. Across all sampled and clusters, the correlation between predicted and real values was 0.993. (B) The estimated copy number of KCs as a function of the underlying real copy number and the coverage of the genome cluster. Each boxplot illustrates the distribution of copy number estimates obtained for KCs with a certain real copy number and in clusters with a given coverage range. Copy number estimation accuracy increased with coverage with an overall accuracy of 87.6%, 95.2%, and 97.8% for clusters with low coverage (1x-2x), intermediate coverage (2x-5x), and high coverage (> 5x) respectively. Overall, copy number estimation accuracy for detectable clusters (> 1x) was 96%, compared to only 60.1% for undetectable clusters. (C) Recall of variable KCs as a function of the fraction of samples in which the KC was deleted or duplicated. The color of each circle represents the proportion of these detected variable KCs that were also identified as highly variable (compared to set-specific variable), confirming the ability of our pipeline to classify the type of underlying variation.

**Figure S5. Comparison of Set-Specific Variable KCs to Known Variation among Reference Genomes, Related to Figure 4**

(A) In each Venn diagram, the gray circle represents the set of all KCs in a given genome cluster, the pink circle represents the fraction of those KCs whose copy number varies across the cluster’s reference genomes, and the red circle represents the set of set-specific variable KCs detected by our analysis. Overlap of the pink and red circles indicates correspondence between known and detected variation. Each diagram is labeled with the cluster ID, representative species name, and number of reference genomes. (B-C) Additional variation in reference genomes that were not used as mapping targets is represented by either an orange circle (additional reference genomes from IMG) or a yellow circle (additional reference genomes from NCBI), compared to variation in included reference genomes (pink) and detected set-specific variable KCs (red).
Figure S6. Copy Number of Highly Variable Motility KCs in *Eubacterium rectale*, Related to Figure 5
The size and color of each square represent the copy number of each highly variable KC within each sample. Samples are grouped by host state (I: IBD, h: healthy, o: obese). The copy number of the 13 marker KCs in this genome cluster and across the samples are also illustrated for comparison.
Figure S7. Principal Coordinate Analysis of Copy-Number Profiles across Samples, Related to Figure 7
Principal coordinate plots are shown for two genome clusters: (A) *Eubacterium siraeum* and (B) *Clostridium sp.*, depicting differences between the copy number profiles across samples (open circles) and reference genomes (filled circles).